

6516208456.txt

I dont understand why the people supporting the NAB petition would want to keep XM radio listeners from hearing what we want and pay to hear. I commute 2 hours each way to work and I often need to make 'roads traveled' decisions last minute. If I see congestion ahead, it would be nice to tune into my local traffic to see if there is an accident ahead, where the accident is, and if I should get off the interstate and take a different route - and is that other route better or worse. For example: driving north on 95, I see congestion ahead, I dont have time to wait for the 8's because the next exit is within .5 miles, and it is 0552... what do I do? Is the other possible route any better? It would be nice to tune in, to a radio station, that I pay for, and get the instant traffic that I want. If I travel out of state, how safe would it be for me to try to find a public radio station that covers local traffic? I could risk an accident myself while trying. Instead, with XM Radio, I could figure out what channel covers the area I am going to before I leave, and tune in when I want.

I pay XM radio for commercial free radio, radio I choose to listen to, why cant I choose to listen to commercial free traffic and weather? Is it because the people who put the commercials on public radio support people in office somehow?